DEPTOR Is an mTOR Inhibitor Frequently Overexpressed in Multiple Myeloma Cells and Required for Their Survival
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SUMMARY

The mTORC1 and mTORC2 pathways regulate cell growth, proliferation, and survival. We identify DEPTOR as an mTOR-interacting protein whose expression is negatively regulated by mTORC1 and mTORC2. Loss of DEPTOR activates S6K1, Akt, and SGK1, promotes cell growth and survival, and activates mTORC1 and mTORC2 kinase activities. DEPTOR overexpression suppresses S6K1 but, by relieving feedback inhibition from mTORC1 to PI3K signaling, activates Akt. Consistent with many human cancers having activated mTORC1 and mTORC2 pathways, DEPTOR expression is low in most cancers. Surprisingly, DEPTOR is highly overexpressed in a subset of multiple myelomas harboring cyclin D1/D3 or c-MAF/MAFB translocations. In these cells, high DEPTOR expression is necessary to maintain PI3K and Akt activation and a reduction in DEPTOR levels leads to apoptosis. Thus, we identify a novel mTOR-interacting protein whose deregulated overexpression in multiple myeloma cells represents a mechanism for activating PI3K/Akt signaling and promoting cell survival.

INTRODUCTION

Mammalian TOR (mTOR) is an evolutionarily conserved serine/threonine kinase that integrates signals from growth factors, nutrients, and stresses to regulate multiple processes, including mRNA translation, cell-cycle progression, autophagy, and cell survival (reviewed in Sarbassov et al., 2005). It is increasingly apparent that deregulation of the mTOR pathway occurs in common diseases, including cancer and diabetes, emphasizing the importance of identifying and understanding the function of the components of the mTOR signaling network. mTOR resides in two distinct multiprotein complexes referred to as mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1) and 2 (mTORC2) (reviewed in Guertin and Sabatini, 2007). mTORC1 is composed of the mTOR catalytic subunit and three associated proteins, raptor, PRAS40, and mLST8/GβL. mTORC2 also contains mTOR and mLST8/GβL, but instead of raptor and PRAS40, contains the proteins rictor, mSin1, and protor. mTORC1 controls cell growth in part by phosphorylating S6 kinase 1 (S6K1) and the eIF-4E-binding protein 1 (4E-BP1), key regulators of protein synthesis. mTORC2 modulates cell survival in response to growth factors by phosphorylating its downstream effectors Akt/PKB and serum/glucocorticoid regulated kinase 1 (SGK1) (reviewed in Guertin and Sabatini, 2007).

In addition to directly activating Akt as part of mTORC2, mTOR, as part of mTORC1, also negatively regulates Akt by suppressing the growth factor-driven pathways upstream of it. Specifically, mTORC1 impairs PI3K activation in response to growth factors by downregulating the expression of insulin receptor substrate 1 and 2 (IRS-1/2) and platelet-derived growth factor receptor-beta (PDGFR-β) (reviewed in Sabatini, 2006). The activation of Akt that results from treating cells with the mTORC1 inhibitor rapamycin may contribute to the limited success to date of this drug and its analogs as cancer therapies.

While most information concerning the involvement of the mTOR pathway in human cancers is consistent with a role for mTOR in directly promoting tumor growth, there are also indications in the literature that mTOR possesses tumor suppressor-like properties. Thus, the tumors that develop in patients with tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC), a syndrome characterized by mTORC1 hyperactivation, are thought to have a limited growth potential due to the PI3K inactivation caused by the aforementioned feedback loop (Manning et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2007). In addition, partial loss-of-function alleles of mTOR confer susceptibility to plasmacytomas in mice, though the mechanism for this effect has not been clarified (Bliskovsky et al., 2003).

Here, we identify DEPTOR as an mTOR binding protein that normally functions to inhibit the mTORC1 and mTORC2
Figure 1. DEPTOR Is an mTOR-Interacting Protein
(A) Silver stain of SDS-PAGE analysis of mTOR immunoprecipitates prepared from HEK293E cells.
(B) Schematic representation of structural features of human DEPTOR and its mouse and chicken orthologs.
(C) Endogenous mTOR, raptor, and rictor coimmunoprecipitate with epitope-tagged DEPTOR. FLAG immunoprecipitates from HEK293E cells expressing the indicated proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and silver staining.
(D) Interaction of endogenous DEPTOR with endogenous mTORC1 and mTORC2 is sensitive to high salt-containing buffers. Indicated immunoprecipitates were prepared from HEK293E cells, washed with buffers containing the indicated amounts of NaCl, and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting for the indicated proteins.
(E) Endogenous DEPTOR coimmunoprecipitates endogenous mTOR. DEPTOR immunoprecipitates were prepared from HeLa cells, washed in a buffer containing 150 mM NaCl, and analyzed as in (D).
pathways. When greatly overexpressed, DEPTOR inhibits mTORC1, and, unexpectedly, this leads to the activation of the PI3K/mTORC2/Akt pathway. This indirect mode of PI3K activation is important for the viability of a subset of multiple myeloma (MM) cells which otherwise lack PI3K-activating mutations.

RESULTS

DEPTOR Is an mTOR-Interacting Protein

Using low-salt purification conditions to isolate PRAS40 (Sancak et al., 2007), we identified within mTOR immunoprecipitates a 48 kDa protein assigned the NCBI gene symbol DEPDC6 (NCBI gene ID 64798) (Figure 1A). The gene for DEPDC6 is found only in vertebrates, and encodes a protein with tandem N-terminal DEP (dishevelled, egl-10, pleckstrin) domains and a C-terminal PDZ (postsynaptic density 95, discs large, zonula occludens-1) domain (reviewed in (Chen and Hamm, 2006; Jemth and Gianni, 2007) (Figure 1B). Because no previous studies refer to the function of the DEPDC6 gene product, we named it DEPTOR in reference to its DEP domains and its specific interaction with mTOR (see below). In purified preparations of recombinant DEPTOR stably expressed in HEK293E cells, we detected via mass spectrometry endogenous mTOR, as well as raptor and rictor, mTORC1, and mTORC2-specific components, respectively. Analogous preparations of recombinant PRAS40, a raptor binding protein, contained only mTORC1 (Figure 1C). Consistent with DEPTOR being part of mTORC1 and mTORC2, endogenous DEPTOR was also detected in immunoprecipitates prepared from HEK293E (Figure 1D) or HeLa cells (Figure 1E) with antibodies that recognize endogenous mTOR, raptor, or rictor, but not actin. As was the case with PRAS40 (Sancak et al., 2007), buffers with increased salt concentrations reduced the amounts of DEPTOR recovered in the immunoprecipitates (Figure 1D). Reciprocal experiments confirmed that endogenous mTOR specifically immuno precipitates with DEPTOR (Figure 1E).

As the above results indicate that DEPTOR is part of mTORC1 and mTORC2, we expected DEPTOR to interact with mTOR and/or mLST8/Gjl, the only proteins common to both mTORC1 and mTORC2. To test this, we co-overexpressed DEPTOR with epitope-tagged mTOR or mLST8/Gjl and analyzed mTOR or mLST8/Gjl immunoprecipitates for the presence of DEPTOR. The results indicate that DEPTOR is an mTOR-interacting protein: DEPTOR communoprecipitated with mTOR irrespective of whether or not mLST8/Gjl was coexpressed with it, while DEPTOR communoprecipitated with mLST8/Gjl only when it and mTOR were expressed together (Figure 1F). DEPTOR interacts with a C-terminal portion of mTOR (aa 1483–2000) that is upstream of its kinase domain and does not encompass the mLST8/Gjl binding site (aa 2001–2549) (Figures 1G and 1I), providing further evidence that, within mTORC1 and mTORC2, DEPTOR interacts specifically with mTOR and not with mLST8/Gjl. Finally, reciprocal experiments showed that the PDZ domain of DEPTOR mediates its interaction with mTOR (Figures 1H and 1I).

DEPTOR Depletion Activates mTORC1 and mTORC2 Signaling in Intact Cells and Enhances the In Vitro Kinase Activities of the Complexes

To test the idea that DEPTOR regulates mTOR function, we measured the activity of the mTOR pathway in cells with RNAi-mediated reductions in DEPTOR expression. Human or mouse cells expressing either of two shRNAs targeting DEPTOR, but not control proteins, had increased mTORC1 and mTORC2 signaling as judged by the phosphorylation states of the mTORC1 and mTORC2 substrates, S6K1 and Akt, respectively (Figures 2A and 2C). Concomitant with the increase in mTORC1 signaling, mTORC1 immunopurified from cells depleted of DEPTOR had increased in vitro kinase activity toward two known substrates, S6K1 and 4E-BP1 (Figure 2B). Likewise, mLST8/Gjl isolated from DEPTOR-depleted cells had increased in vitro kinase activity toward its substrate Akt1 (Figure 2D). Taken together, the loss-of-function data indicate that within cells DEPTOR is an inhibitor of mTORC1 and mTORC2 activities.

DEPTOR Depletion Increases Cell Size and Protects Cells from Apoptosis

To determine whether the effects of DEPTOR loss on mTORC1/2 signaling are physiologically significant, we measured in DEPTOR-deficient cells key outputs of mTORC1 and mTORC2 function, namely cell growth and survival, respectively. Cells with reduced DEPTOR expression were larger than control cells, and rapamycin treatment reversed this phenotype, consistent with DEPTOR acting upstream of mTORC1 (Figure 3A). The size of the DEPTOR-deficient cells was comparable in magnitude to, although slightly smaller than, those with reduced expression of TSC2, a well-established negative regulator of mTORC1 (reviewed in Sabatini, 2006) (Figure 3B).

Because Akt promotes cell survival (Dudek et al., 1997) and DEPTOR suppression within cells causes an increase in Akt phosphorylation (Figure 2C), we tested whether DEPTOR-deficient cells are resistant to apoptosis induction. In HeLa cells expressing a control shRNA, reductions in the serum concentration to, although slightly smaller than, those with reduced expression of TSC2, a well-established negative regulator of mTORC1 (reviewed in Sabatini, 2006) (Figure 3B).

Because Akt promotes cell survival (Dudek et al., 1997) and DEPTOR suppression within cells causes an increase in Akt phosphorylation (Figure 2C), we tested whether DEPTOR-deficient cells are resistant to apoptosis induction. In HeLa cells expressing a control shRNA, reductions in the serum concentration to, although slightly smaller than, those with reduced expression of TSC2, a well-established negative regulator of mTORC1 (reviewed in Sabatini, 2006) (Figure 3B). In contrast, DEPTOR-deficient cells were resistant to PARP and caspase-3 cleavage in a manner that correlated with the efficiency of DEPTOR suppression (Figure 3C). However, in contrast to

(F) DEPTOR interacts with mTOR and not mLST8/Gjl. Indicated cDNAs in expression vectors were coexpressed in HEK293T cells and cell lysates used to prepare anti-HA and anti-myc immunoprecipitates that were analyzed as in (D).

(G) Myc-tagged mTOR, its indicated fragments, or rap2a were coexpressed in HEK293T cells with FLAG-DEPTOR, and anti-myc immunoprecipitates were analyzed as in (D).

(H) The PDZ domain of DEPTOR interacts with mTOR. FLAG-tagged DEPTOR, its fragments, or metap2 were coexpressed in HEK293T cells with myc-mTOR, and anti-FLAG immunoprecipitates were analyzed as in (D). Asterisks (*) indicate non-specific bands.

(I) Schematic indicating the regions of mTOR and DEPTOR that mediate their interaction. HEAT Repeats, 1–1382; FAT, 1383–2014; KD (PI3K-like kinase domain), 2115–2431; C (FATC), 2432–2549.
what is observed in the presence of serum, in the absence of serum DEPTOR-deficient HeLa cells did not have higher levels of Akt phosphorylation than control cells (Figure 3C). This suggests that, despite the capacity of DEPTOR suppression to protect cells from apoptosis, the effect was unlikely to be mediated by Akt. As Akt shares some of its prosurvival functions with the related family of SGK kinases (reviewed in Tessier and Woodgett, 2006) and recent evidence indicates that mTOR activates SGK1 by directly phosphorylating it (Garcia-Martinez and Alessi, 2008; Hong et al., 2008), we reasoned that DEPTOR suppression might protect HeLa cells from apoptosis by promoting SGK1 activity. Consistent with this, the DEPTOR-deficient HeLa cells had, at all serum concentrations, increased phosphorylation levels of NDRG1, an established SGK1 substrate (Murray et al., 2004) (Figure 3C). Because it is still controversial whether SGK1 is an mTORC2 and/or mTORC1 substrate (Garcia-Martinez and Alessi, 2008; Hong et al., 2008), we determined whether DEPTOR-deficient cells could be resensitized to proapoptotic stimuli by inhibiting mTORC1 with rapamycin or by inhibiting both mTORC1 and mTORC2 with Torin1, a highly selective and potent ATP-competitive inhibitor of mTOR (Thoreen et al., 2009). Consistent with mTORC2 mediating the prosurvival effects of DEPTOR suppression, treatment of the serum-deprived and DEPTOR-deficient HeLa cells with Torin1, but not rapamycin,
restored caspase-3 and PARP cleavage and reversed the hyperphosphorylation of NDRG1 caused by DEPTOR loss (Figure 3D).

In accord with the results obtained with Torin1, a knockdown of rictor, but not raptor, abolished NDRG1 hyperphosphorylation and reactivated apoptosis in DEPTOR knockdown cells deprived of serum (Figure 3E). Lastly, a reduction in SGK1 levels in the DEPTOR knockdown HeLa cells reduced NDRG1 phosphorylation and resensitized the cells to apoptosis induction upon serum deprivation (Figure 3F).

DEPTOR suppression also prevented caspase-3 cleavage in serum-deprived HT-29 cells, though in this cell type the antiapoptotic effects of DEPTOR loss correlated with a partial rescue of Akt phosphorylation (Figure S1 available online). Other perturbations that promote Akt activation, such as suppression of raptor expression (Skeen et al., 2006), also protected HT-29 cells from apoptosis induced by serum withdrawal (Figure S1). Thus, analyses of DEPTOR-deficient cells indicate that DEPTOR physiologically controls cellular processes regulated by mTOR signaling.

**mTOR Negatively Regulates DEPTOR Expression at the Transcriptional and Posttranslational Levels**

We noted that prolonged serum withdrawal caused an increase in DEPTOR protein expression (Figure 3C). To gain insight into the temporal regulation of DEPTOR expression, we starved and stimulated HeLa cells with serum for various time intervals. Serum stimulation led to a time-dependent retardation in DEPTOR migration in SDS-PAGE until about 6 hr after serum addition, at which point DEPTOR expression began to fall, and, by 12 hr, almost disappeared (Figure 4A). Interestingly, these qualitative and quantitative changes in DEPTOR correlated...
with inflections in mTORC1 and mTORC2 pathway activities as measured by the phosphorylations of S6K1 and Akt, respectively. As expected, the amount of DEPTOR bound to mTORC1 and mTORC2 (Figure 4B) reflected the serum-induced changes in DEPTOR expression detected in cell lysates (Figures 4A and 4B). Serum starvation and stimulation also regulated DEPTOR expression in a variety of other cancer cell lines in addition to HeLa cells (Figure 4C). We noted that cell lines known to have serum-independent mTORC1 and mTORC2 signaling, such as HEK293T, PC3, and U87 cells (Sarbassov et al., 2006), have markedly reduced levels of DEPTOR that are largely insensitive to serum (Figure 4C).
As both PC3 and U87 cells lack PTEN (SANGER COSMIC database), a negative regulator of PI3K signaling that controls the responsiveness of the mTORC1 and mTORC2 pathways to growth factors (reviewed in Carracedo and Pandolfi, 2008), it seemed likely that PTEN loss suppresses DEPTOR expression. Consistent with this, in PTEN null mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs), DEPTOR was virtually absent in the presence or absence of serum, while serum still regulated DEPTOR expression in MEFs that express PTEN (Figure 4D). As PTEN loss activates both mTORC1 and mTORC2 signaling, we determined whether DEPTOR expression is also sensitive to perturbations that only activate mTORC1. Indeed, MEFs lacking TSC2, a tumor suppressor whose loss leads to activation of only mTORC1, had reduced levels of DEPTOR even when cultured in the absence of serum (Figure 4D).

The above findings suggested that both mTORC1 and mTORC2 negatively regulate DEPTOR expression (Figures 4A–4D). Supporting this idea, treatment of cells with the mTORC1 and mTORC2 inhibitor Torin1 fully blocked the mobility shift and decrease in expression of DEPTOR caused by serum stimulation, while treatment with the mTORC1 inhibitor rapamycin had only partial effects on DEPTOR mobility and expression (Figure 4E). To determine at which regulatory level(s) cells control DEPTOR expression, we measured the amounts of DEPTOR protein and mRNA in HeLa cells treated in various ways (Figures 4F and 4G). The drop in DEPTOR protein caused by serum stimulation correlated with a significant decrease in the mRNA for DEPTOR (Figure 4F), suggesting that transcriptional regulation contributes to the control of DEPTOR expression. However, it was clear that posttranscriptional mechanisms are also in play because the partial rescue by rapamycin treatment of the serum-induced decrease in DEPTOR protein amounts occurred in the absence of any change in DEPTOR mRNA levels (Figure 4F). Moreover, treatment of cells with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 (Tsubuki et al., 1993) blocked the serum-induced decrease in DEPTOR protein without increasing the DEPTOR mRNA, indicating that the degradation of DEPTOR is a key step in the regulation of its expression (Figure 4F). Treatment of cells with Torin1 blocked the serum-induced drop in DEPTOR protein levels and, in contrast to rapamycin, also partially prevented the decrease in the DEPTOR mRNA (Figure 4F).

A comparison of the effects of rapamycin with those of Torin1 on DEPTOR expression suggested that both mTORC1 and mTORC2 negatively regulate DEPTOR protein expression, but that only mTORC2 negatively regulates DEPTOR mRNA expression. To further test this, we depleted mTORC1 or mTORC2 using shRNAs targeting raptor or rictor, respectively, and examined DEPTOR protein and mRNA levels. As expected, both the raptor and rictor knockdowns increased DEPTOR protein levels in serum-replete cells (Figure 4G). However, both knockdowns also increased DEPTOR mRNA (Figure 4G), indicating that the regulation of DEPTOR mRNA expression by mTORC1 is rapamycin resistant, consistent with the increasing evidence that only some mTORC1 functions are sensitive to rapamycin (Choo et al., 2008; Feldman et al., 2009; Thoreen et al., 2009). Thus, both mTOR complexes negatively regulate DEPTOR mRNA expression, explaining why cell lines with high mTORC1 and mTORC2 activity, such as the PTEN null PC3 or U87 cells, have low levels of DEPTOR mRNA (Figure S2A). In aggregate, these results suggest that the regulation of DEPTOR expression is complex and involves posttranslational and transcriptional mechanisms mediated by both mTORC1 and mTORC2.

DEPTOR Is Phosphorylated in an mTOR-Dependent Fashion

Because the mTOR inhibitor Torin 1 prevents the serum-induced degradation and SDS-PAGE mobility shift of DEPTOR, we reasoned that a mechanism through which mTORC1 and mTORC2 might regulate DEPTOR is by controlling its phosphorylation. To investigate this, we purified DEPTOR from serum-stimulated cells and analyzed it by mass spectrometry for the presence of phosphorylated residues. This led to the identification of 13 serine and threonine phosphorylation sites, all of which are located in the linker between the C-terminal DEP domain and the PDZ domain (Figure S3A). Interestingly, many of the phosphorylation sites fall within “proline-directed” motifs like those in 4E-BP1 (Gingras et al., 2001), which are known to be phosphorylated by mTOR (Brunn et al., 1997; Burnett et al., 1998; Gingras et al., 1999). Extensive characterization of DEPTOR from serum-stimulated cells supported the notion that DEPTOR is heavily phosphorylated in an mTOR-dependent manner (Figures S3B–S3D). We changed all 13 phosphorylation sites to alanine to generate a nonphosphorylatable mutant protein that we call DEPTOR 13S/T→A. In serum-stimulated cells, the mutant protein did not undergo a mobility shift on SDS-PAGE (Figure S3E). When overexpressed, neither wild-type nor 13S/T→A DEPTOR decreased in expression upon serum stimulation of cells (compare Figures 4B and S3E), suggesting that the machinery that degrades DEPTOR is readily saturated. Consistent with this, stable and modest 2- to 5-fold overexpression of epitope-tagged wild-type DEPTOR severely inhibited the loss of endogenous DEPTOR that normally occurs upon serum stimulation (Figure S3F). Because overexpression of DEPTOR impaired the regulation of its expression (Figures S3E and S3F), we focused on other functions for DEPTOR phosphorylation that could be relevant to DEPTOR turnover and, in particular, examined the potential role of DEPTOR phosphorylation on regulating the DEPTOR-mTOR interaction. Compared to wild-type DEPTOR, the 13×S/T→A DEPTOR mutant bound better to mTOR within mTORC1 and mTORC2 (Figure 5A). These results suggest that the phosphorylation of DEPTOR promotes its release from mTOR and correlates with its loss. In addition, experiments described below indicate that DEPTOR phosphorylation is necessary to reverse the inhibitory effects of DEPTOR on mTORC2 activity.

DEPTOR Overexpression Inhibits mTORC1 but Activates PI3K/Akt Signaling

Because our loss-of-function experiments indicate that DEPTOR is an mTOR inhibitor, we hypothesized that DEPTOR overexpression should suppress both the mTORC1 and mTORC2 pathways. Indeed, transient overexpression of wild-type DEPTOR, but not a control protein, in MEFs reduced the phosphorylation of T389 of coexpressed S6K1, an established mTORC1 substrate, to a similar degree as overexpression of PRAS40,
a known mTORC1 inhibitor (Figure 5B). DEPTOR overexpression also strongly inhibited mTORC1 in MEFs lacking TSC2 or rictor (Figure 5B). Overexpression of just the PDZ domain of DEPTOR, the region of DEPTOR that binds mTOR (Figure 1H), but not of the tandem DEP domains of DEPTOR, also inhibited mTORC1 signaling (Figure 5B).

In contrast to the expected effects we observed with regards to mTORC1, DEPTOR overexpression led to an apparent increase instead of inhibition of mTORC2 signaling as monitored by Akt S473 phosphorylation (Figures 5C and S4). We suspected that because DEPTOR overexpression inhibits mTORC1, it relieves the inhibitory feedback signal normally transmitted from mTORC1 to PI3K. This would lead to hyperactive PI3K signaling, which then overcomes the direct inhibitory effects of DEPTOR on mTORC2, just as the hyperactive rheb found in TSC2 null cells can overcome the inhibitory effects of PRAS40 on mTORC1 (Sancak et al., 2007). Consistent with this interpretation, overexpression of DEPTOR, like that of PRAS40, not only caused an increase in the phosphorylation of Akt at S473 but also at T308, the PDK1-catalyzed site that serves as a readout of PI3K activity (Alessi, 2001) (Figures 5C and S4). The activation of Akt by DEPTOR overexpression was not observed in the absence of serum, as expected from the known requirement for growth factors for mTORC1 to PI3K feedback signaling (O’Reilly et al., 2006) (Figure 5D). As was the case with wild-type DEPTOR, overexpression of the nonphosphorylatable DEPTOR mutant inhibited mTORC1 signaling (Figure 5B) and triggered feedback activation of PI3K as detected by T308 phosphorylation of Akt (Figure 5C). However, unlike wild-type DEPTOR, the 13xS/T—A DEPTOR mutant did not promote Akt phosphorylation on S473, indicating that PI3K activation cannot activate mTORC2 when DEPTOR cannot be phosphorylated (Figure 5C).
We propose that the effects of DEPTOR overexpression on the mTOR pathway—inhibition of mTORC1 signaling but apparent activation of mTORC2 signaling—result from DEPTOR-mediated inhibition of mTORC1, which then leads to the hyperactivation of PI3K. In agreement with this model, in many cell types with rapamycin or overexpression of PRAS40 (Figure 5C) has similar effects on mTOR signaling as DEPTOR overexpression (O’Reilly et al., 2006; Sarbassov et al., 2006). However, both rapamycin and PRAS40 are predominantly mTORC1 inhibitors, while our loss-of-function data indicate that DEPTOR inhibits mTORC1 and mTORC2. To gain evidence that a dual mTORC1 and mTORC2 inhibitor can also lead to a stable cell state characterized by inhibition of mTORC1 signaling and activation of PI3K/mTORC2/Akt signaling, we explored the time- and dose-dependent effects of the mTOR inhibitor Torin1 (Thoreen et al., 2009). As expected, acute Torin1 treatment of cells for 10 min led to a dose-dependent inhibition of both mTORC1 and mTORC2 signaling, as monitored by S6K1 and Akt phosphorylation, respectively (Figure 5E). By 48 hr of Torin1 treatment, however, mTORC1 signaling was still inhibited but was now accompanied by increased T308 and S473 Akt phosphorylation and IRS-1 levels, molecular phenotypes consistent with the loss of the inhibitory feedback signal from mTORC1 to the PI3K pathway (Figure 5E). At a higher concentration (250 nM) of Torin1, Akt T308 phosphorylation and IRS-1 expression were very high, indicating that, at this dose, PI3K signaling was still hyperactive. However, like overexpression of a non-phosphorylatable DEPTOR mutant (Figure 5C), the higher dose of Torin1 eliminated Akt S473 phosphorylation, revealing that mTORC2 was now fully inhibited. PP242, a distinct ATP-competitive inhibitor of mTOR (Feldman et al., 2009), had similar time- and dose-dependent effects on mTOR and PI3K signaling as Torin1 (Figure 5S). Thus, because of the inhibitory feedback signal from mTORC1 to the PI3K pathway, partial mTOR inhibition caused by either DEPTOR overexpression or chemical inhibitors leads to an asymmetrical state of mTOR signaling characterized by an inhibition of mTORC1 but an activation of mTORC2-dependent outputs.

In a Subset of Multiple Myelomas, DEPTOR Overexpression Is Necessary for Akt Activation and Cell Survival

The dynamic nature of DEPTOR expression and the interesting consequences of DEPTOR overexpression on mTORC1 and PI3K/mTORC2/Akt signaling prompted us to examine the levels of DEPTOR mRNA in databases of transcriptional profiles of human tumors and cancer cell lines. Consistent with mTORC1 and mTORC2 being active in many cancers (reviewed in Sabatini, 2006), the levels of DEPTOR mRNA were significantly lower in most cancer types compared to the normal tissues from which they are derived (Figure 6A, Table S1). Anomalously, this was not the case for MMs, a malignancy of antibody-producing plasma cells (reviewed in Kuehl and Bergsagel, 2002), in which the DEPTOR mRNA is overexpressed (Figure 6A, Table S1).

To expand upon these findings, we measured DEPTOR mRNA levels in a collection of 581 human multiple myelomas and found that 28% (160/581) of the MM had mRNA levels that were 4-fold or greater than those in normal plasma cells (see the Experimental Procedures and Table S1). Multiple myelomas can be grouped into two broad types: (1) hyperdiploid MMs, which are associated with multiple trisomies of eight chromosomes and bi-allelically deregulate cyclin D1/D2 mRNA expression, and (2) nonhyperdiploid MMs, which mono-allelically deregulate cyclin D1/D3, c-MAF/MAFB, or FGFR3/MMSET expression through translocation events involving these genes (Bergsagel et al., 2005) (in Figure 6B, these two types are referred to as “Bi-allelic deregulation” and “Translocation”). We noted that many of the MMs with high levels of DEPTOR mRNA were of the nonhyperdiploid type and had translocations involving the genes for cyclin D1 or D3, or, in particular, for the c-MAF or MAFB transcription factors (Table S1). In these MMs, the mean level of DEPTOR mRNA is significantly higher than in those without the translocations (Figure 6B).

We next examined DEPTOR mRNA and protein expression and mTORC1 and PI3K/mTORC2/Akt signaling in a set of MM cell lines. Many MM cell lines, particularly those with c-MAF/MAFB translocations, have levels of DEPTOR protein and mRNA expression that are many folds greater than those found in non-MM cancer cell lines, such as HeLa and PC3 cells (Figures 6D, 6E, and Table S1). Consistent with our exogenous DEPTOR overexpression studies (Figure 5), DEPTOR expression across the cell lines correlated positively with the phosphorylation of Akt on T308 and S473 (PDK1/PI3K and mTORC2 outputs, respectively), but negatively with S6K1 T389 phosphorylation (an mTORC1 output) (Figure 6C). The sole exception was the Δ47 cell line, which is one of the few MM cell lines that lack PTEN and thus has high levels of S6K1 and Akt phosphorylation (Figure 6C). Remarkably, the MM cell lines with high DEPTOR expression had levels of S473 and, particularly, T308 Akt phosphorylation comparable to those in the PTEN null Δ47 cell line. As PTEN inactivation and PI3K-activating mutations are rare in MM (Chang et al., 2006) (W.M.K., unpublished data), we considered the possibility that overexpression of endogenous DEPTOR might also be a mechanism to promote activation of PI3K and Akt and cell survival in a subset of human MMs. To test this, we used RNAi to suppress the very high levels of DEPTOR expression in 8226 and OCI-MY5 multiple myeloma cell lines to levels comparable to those in HeLa cells (Figure 6D). DEPTOR suppression led to an increase in mTORC1 activity as detected by S6K1 T389 phosphorylation, IRS1 levels, and IRS1 phosphorylation, but to a drop in PI3K/PDK1 and mTORC2 outputs as measured by T308 and S473 Akt phosphorylation, respectively (Figure 6E). Even though the DEPTOR knockdown decreased the cellular levels of phospho-S473 Akt, it caused an increase in the in vitro kinase activity of mTORC2 isolated from the DEPTOR knockdown cells (Figure 7), a result consistent with DEPTOR being a negative regulator of mTORC2 kinase activity (Figure 2D). DEPTOR suppression had similar inhibitory effects on T308 and S473 Akt phosphorylation in OCI-MY5 cells except that in this cell line IRS-1 was not detected and decreased Akt phosphorylation instead correlated instead with a loss of PDGFR-β levels (Figure 6E, see also Figure S8). Lastly, coknockdown of raptor with DEPTOR partially reversed the effects of the DEPTOR knockdown on T308 and S473 Akt phosphorylation, suggesting that mTORC1 activation mediates the reduction in PI3K signaling seen in the 8226 cells upon a reduction in DEPTOR expression (Figure S9).
Consistent with the prosurvival role of PI3K/Akt signaling, suppression of DEPTOR in 8226 cells abolished cell proliferation over a 7 day culture period (Figure 6F) and caused apoptosis as detected by the presence of numerous cell fragments in the culture media (Figure 6G) and increased cleaved caspase-3 and PARP (Figure 6H). Thus, in a subset of multiple myeloma cell lines, high expression of DEPTOR contributes to Akt activation and is an endogenous mechanism for maintaining cell survival.

Because PI3K/mTORC2/Akt signaling represses DEPTOR mRNA levels (Figures 4F, 4G S2A, and S2B), we wondered how certain multiple myeloma cells can keep DEPTOR at elevated levels in the face of hyperactive PI3K signaling. DEPTOR mRNA levels are highest in MM tumors and cell lines in which chromosomal translocations cause the overexpression of c-MAF or MAFB. Moreover, a transcriptional profiling study shows that DEPTOR is highly induced upon forced MAFB overexpression (Hurt et al., 2004; van Stralen et al., 2009). To test whether deregulated overexpression of c-MAF or MAFB drives DEPTOR expression, we used RNAi to reduce c-MAF expression in 8226 cells, a MM cell line with a translocation involving the c-MAF gene (Chesi et al., 1998). Indeed, loss of c-MAF substantially diminished DEPTOR mRNA and protein levels and, by activating mTORC1 and inhibiting mTORC2 outputs, recapitulated the effects of a DEPTOR knockdown on the mTOR pathway (Figures 6I and 6J). As expected, the knockdown of c-MAF decreased the expression of the mRNA for integrin β7, a known c-MAF target (Figure 6I). These results are consistent with deregulated overexpression of c-MAF or MAFB driving DEPTOR expression to high levels and, thus, hyperactivating PI3K signaling.

**DISCUSSION**

Our loss-of-function data indicate that DEPTOR inhibits both the mTORC1 and mTORC2 pathways. However, by inhibiting mTORC1, DEPTOR overexpression relieves mTORC1-mediated inhibition of PI3K, causing an activation of PI3K and, paradoxically, of mTORC2-dependent outputs, like Akt.

mTOR interacts with DEPTOR via its PDZ domain, and so far there is no information about the function of the tandem DEP domains the protein also contains. In other proteins, DEP domains mediate protein-protein interactions (Ballon et al., 2006; Yu et al., 2007), but in numerous DEPTOR purifications we have failed to identify additional DEPTOR-interacting proteins besides the known components of mTORC1 and mTORC2 (data not shown). Therefore, based on our current evidence, DEPTOR appears dedicated to mTOR regulation, and we propose that in vertebrates it is likely to be involved in regulating other outputs of the mTOR signaling network besides the growth and survival pathways we have examined. The mTOR complexes and DEPTOR negatively regulate each other, suggesting the existence of a feedforward loop in which the loss of DEPTOR leads to an increase in mTOR activity, which then further reduces DEPTOR expression. This type of regulatory circuit should result in DEPTOR expression being tightly coupled to mTOR activity, and, interestingly, we have noted that DEPTOR mRNA levels strongly anticorrelate with cell size, a readout of mTORC1 activity (Figure S6B).

We find that about 28% of human MMs overexpress DEPTOR. Our results are consistent with a published survey of 67 MM tumors and 43 MM cell lines, in which 21% were shown to possess copy number gains and associated expression increases of the genes within a 6 Mb region of chromosome 8q24 that contains DEPTOR (Carrasco et al., 2006). Furthermore, it appears that deregulated overexpression of c-MAF and MAFB is an additional, perhaps even more prevalent, mechanism for increasing DEPTOR expression in MMs. The related c-MAF and MAFB transcription factors are expressed frequently as the result of chromosomal translocations—in a large fraction of MMs, but not in the plasma cells from which they are derived (reviewed in Eychene et al., 2008). Consistent with c-MAF playing a key role in promoting DEPTOR expression, a knockdown of c-MAF in a MM cell line having a c-MAF translocation decreases...
the expression of DEPTOR and mimics the effects of a DEPTOR knockdown on mTOR and PI3K signaling. The levels of the DEPTOR and c-MAF or MAFB mRNAs highly correlate with each other (van Stralen et al., 2009) and, importantly, DEPTOR expression correlates with poor survival in patients with multiple myeloma (Carrasco et al., 2008).

In many multiple myeloma cell lines, DEPTOR is massively overexpressed compared to the levels found in other cancer cell lines, such as HeLa cells. In these cells, the great overexpression of DEPTOR inhibits mTORC1 growth signaling and drives outputs dependent on PI3K. Interestingly, a reduction in DEPTOR expression to the lower levels seen in non-multiple myeloma cell lines causes cell death via apoptosis. This suggests that a pharmacologically induced reduction in DEPTOR expression or disruption of the DEPTOR-mTOR interaction could have therapeutic benefits for the treatment of multiple myeloma. There has been progress in developing small-molecule inhibitors of protein-protein interactions mediated by PDZ domains (Daw et al., 2000; Fuji et al., 2007), so it is conceivable that blockers of the DEPTOR-mTOR interaction could be made.

Although a number of other cancer cell lines have high levels of DEPTOR (data not shown), as a class only multiple myelomas appear to consistently overexpress it. Besides activating PI3K/Akt signaling, DEPTOR overexpression in MM cells may provide these cells with benefits that are not relevant in other cancer types or perhaps even detrimental. For example, the high demand that MM cells place on the protein synthesis machinery to produce large amounts of immunoglobulins (reviewed in Shapiro-Shalef and Calame, 2004), causes a significant ER stress, which renders these cells susceptible to apoptosis induction via agents that induce further ER stress, such as proteasome inhibitors (Lee et al., 2003; Meister et al., 2007). DEPTOR overexpression, by partial inhibition of protein synthesis through the suppression of mTORC1, may reduce the levels of ER stress below the threshold that triggers apoptosis. In contrast, in other cancer cells in which ER stress is not a significant factor, DEPTOR overexpression may be selected against because reduced rates of protein synthesis may not be tolerated. That mTORC1-stimulated protein synthesis leads to ER stress is already appreciated as TSC1 or TSC2 null cells have increased sensitivity to ER stress-induced death (Ozcan et al., 2008).

It is curious that DEPTOR is overexpressed mostly in MMs characterized by chromosomal translocations instead of those that are hyperdiploid because of aneuploidy (Figure 6B) (Bergsagel et al., 2005). Elevated DEPTOR expression might be tolerated better in the nonhyperdiploid MMs because aneuploidy itself increases sensitivity to conditions, like mTORC1 inhibition, that interfere with protein synthesis (Torres et al., 2007). Moreover, the state of high mTORC2 and low mTORC1 signaling that our work indicates some MM cells prefer cannot be achieved by mutations that activate PI3K signaling, perhaps explaining why multiple myelomas exhibit low rates of PTEN-inactivating or PI3K-activating mutations (Chang et al., 2006) (W.M.K., unpublished data).

cDNA Manipulations, Mutagenesis, and Sequence Alignments

The cDNA for DEPTOR (NCBI gene symbol DEPDC6) in the pCMV6-XL4 vector was obtained from Origene. The DEPTOR cDNA was amplified by PCR, and the product was subcloned into the Sal I and Not I sites of pRK5, the Xho I and Not I sites of pMSCV, or the BsiWI and BstB I sites of pTREQ. The DEPTOR cDNA in pRK5 was mutagenized with the QuickChange XLII mutagenesis kit (Stratagene).

Mass Spectrometric Analysis

mTOR or FLAG immunoprecipitates prepared from 30 million HEK293E cells were resolved by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie G stained, and gel bands were excised and processed as described in Sancak et al. (2007). In four independent experiments, a total of 12 peptides corresponding to DEPTOR were identified, while no DEPTOR peptides were identified in control purifications.

DEPTOR phosphorylation sites were identified by mass spectrometry of tryptic-digested FLAG-DEPTOR purified from HEK293E or HEK293T cells stably or transiently overexpressing FLAG-DEPTOR. All putative phosphorylated residues on DEPTOR (highlighted in bold) were detected on the following peptides (amino acid position according to NCBI DEPDC6 protein sequence NP_073620): 234 KSPSSQET+HDSPPCLR 249 257 KSTSFMVS/SPSK 268 278 RISSSSCSSGSYFSSSPPTLLSSPVLPVLPNK 311

Label-free quantification of DEPTOR phosphorylation sites were performed with BioWorks Rev3.3 software according to the methodology utilized in Stokes et al. (2007).

Cell Lysis and Immunoprecipitations

All cells were rinsed with ice-cold PBS before lysis. All cells, with the exception of those used to isolate mTOR-containing complexes, were lysed with Triton X-100 containing lysis buffer (40 mM HEPES [pH 7.4], 2 mM ethylenediamine-tetraacetic acid [EDTA], 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 10 mM sodium glycophosphate, 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM NaF, 1% Triton X-100, and one tablet of EDTA-free protease inhibitors [Roche] per 25 ml). The soluble fractions of cell lysates were isolated by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 10 min in a microcentrifuge. For immunoprecipitations, primary antibodies were added to the lysates and incubated with rotation for 1.5 hr at 4˚C. A 50% slurry of protein G Sepharose (60 μl) was then added, and the incubation continued for an additional 1 hr. Immunoprecipitated proteins were denatured by the addition of 20 μl of sample buffer and boiling for 5 min, resolved by 4%–12% SDS-PAGE, and analyzed by immunoblotting as described (Kim et al., 2002). So that gel mobility shifting in DEPTOR could be observed, 8% Tris Glycine gels (Invitrogen) were used. For all other applications, 4%–12% Bis-Tris gels (Invitrogen) were used. For immunoprecipitations of mTOR-containing complexes, cells were lysed in ice-cold CHAPS-containing lysis buffer lacking added NaCl. Immunoprecipitates were washed once each in the CHAPS lysis buffer and twice with CHAPS lysis buffer containing 150 mM NaCl, such that the immunopurified material would be rinsed in a solution with a physiologically relevant salt concentration. When specified, the latter two washes contained 0 mM or 500 mM NaCl.

In Vitro Kinase Assay For mTORC1 and mTORC2 Activities

Kinase assays were performed as described (Sancak et al., 2007).

cDNA Transfection-Based Experiments

For examination of the effects on mTOR signaling of DEPTOR overexpression, 500,000 HeLa; 300,000 p53−/− or rictor−/−, p53−/− or 200,000 TSC2−/−, p53−/− regularly passaged (every 2–3 days) cells were plated in 6 cm culture dishes in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM)/10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (IF). Twelve hours later, cells were transfected with the pRK5-based cDNA expression plasmids indicated in the figures in the following amounts: 2 μg for all FLAG-tagged cDNAs, and 200 ng of HA-GST S6K1 or Akt1. All cells were lysed at 50%–75% confluence 24 hr after transfection.
Live-Cell Imaging
8226 cells grown in 12 well dishes were imaged at 20x magnification with a Canon Powershot 5 megapixel digital camera.

Mammalian Lentiviral shRNAs and Gene Expression and Mutation Analysis in Human Cancers and Cancer Cell Lines
See the Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA
Supplemental Data include Supplemental Experimental Procedures and nine figures and can be found with this article online at http://www.cell.com/supplemental/S0092-8674(09)00389-4.
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