CD4⁺ T Cells Contribute to the Remodeling of the Microenvironment Required for Sustained Tumor Regression upon Oncogene Inactivation
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SUMMARY

Oncogene addiction is thought to occur cell autonomously. Immune effectors are implicated in the initiation and restraint of tumorigenesis, but their role in oncogene inactivation-mediated tumor regression is unclear. Here, we show that an intact immune system, specifically CD4⁺ T cells, is required for the induction of cellular senescence, shutdown of angiogenesis, and chemokine expression resulting in sustained tumor regression upon inactivation of the MYC or BCR-ABL oncogenes in mouse models of T cell acute lymphoblastic lymphoma and pro-B cell leukemia, respectively. Moreover, immune effectors knocked out for thrombospondins failed to induce sustained tumor regression. Hence, CD4⁺ T cells are required for the remodeling of the tumor microenvironment through the expression of chemokines, such as thrombospondins, in order to elicit oncogene addiction.

INTRODUCTION

The inactivation of a single oncogene is sufficient to induce sustained tumor regression in vivo through the phenomenon of oncogene addiction, as has been demonstrated experimentally in many conditional transgenic mouse model systems (Felsher, 2008; Sharma and Settleman, 2007; Weinstein and Joe, 2008) and through the development of targeted therapeutics such as Gleevec (Weinstein and Joe, 2006). Oncogene addiction is associated with proliferative arrest, apoptosis, differentiation, and cellular senescence as well as the shutdown of host programs such as angiogenesis (Felsher, 2003; Felsher and Bishop, 1999; Giuriato et al., 2006; Jain et al., 2002; Shachaf et al., 2004; Wu et al., 2007). To date, it has been presumed that oncogene inactivation induces tumor regression through cell autonomous mechanisms, independent of host effector cells.

The host immune system plays an important role in tumorigenesis. Both antigen-dependent and -independent mechanisms are implicated through multiple cellular effectors and effects on inflammation and the tumor microenvironment (Crowe et al., 2002; Shankaran et al., 2001). Indeed, it is well documented that CD8⁺ T cells contribute to antigen-dependent and NK cell-mediated tumor elimination (Shankar et al., 2007; van der Bruggen et al., 1999). Additionally, CD4⁺ T cells may also contribute to
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tumor regression (Corthay et al., 2005; Qin and Blankenstein, 2000). Chemokines produced by the immune system have been shown to play an important role during tumor evolution and therapeutic response (Rossi and Zlotnik, 2000; Smyth et al., 2004).

In general, tumors coevolve with host immune effectors and chemokines through a process that has been described as immune editing (Dougan and Dranoff, 2009; Dunn et al., 2002; Dunn et al., 2006; Reiman et al., 2007; Swann et al., 2008). Immune editing has been dramatically illustrated in several models of carcinogenesis (Bui et al., 2006; Shankaran et al., 2001; Willimsky and Blankenstein, 2005). Host immune effectors also contribute to the initiation of tumorigenesis through profound effects on the tumor microenvironnement (Coudens and Werb, 2002; de Visser et al., 2006). Thus, the immune system appears to play a complex role in both the initiation and restraint of tumorigenesis.

The role of the immune system in mediating tumor regression upon targeted oncogene inactivation is not known. Hosts that are immune compromised have a markedly increased incidence of many different types of cancers (Birkeland et al., 1995; Dunn et al., 2002; Pham et al., 1995). The host immune system is intimately involved not only in the promotion and prevention of neoplasia but also in determining the therapeutic response to treatment of cancer (Andreu et al., 2010; Boshoff and Weiss, 2002; Dave et al., 2004; Galon et al., 2006; Gatti and Good, 1971; Kohrt et al., 2005; Zitvogel et al., 2008). However, experimental study of therapeutics for cancer is usually performed in vitro or in vivo in immune compromised hosts, circumstances in which immune effectors are necessarily absent. These models do not account for the role of host-tumor interactions and the role of the immune system.

The MYC oncogene has been implicated in the pathogenesis of many human tumors (Meyer and Penn, 2008). MYC is involved in the etiology of many types of lymphomas including Burkitt’s large cell and T cell acute lymphoblastic lymphoma (T-ALL) (Boxer and Dang, 2001; Pelengaris et al., 2002). We have previously described our conditional transgenic mouse models of MYC-induced T cell acute lymphoblastic lymphoma (T-ALL) (Felsher and Bishop, 1999). The inactivation of MYC is sufficient to induce sustained tumor regression associated with proliferative arrest, apoptosis, differentiation, cellular senescence and the shutdown of angiogenesis (Felsher and Bishop, 1999; Giurato et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2007). Here, we show that defects in the host immune system have a profound influence on the ability of oncogene inactivation to elicit oncogene addiction.

RESULTS

Immune System Is Required for Rapid, Complete, and Sustained Tumor Regression

To interrogate if the immune system is required to elicit oncogene addiction upon MYC inactivation, we transplanted luciferase labeled tumors from our conditional transgenic mouse model of MYC-induced hematopoietic tumorigenesis into wild-type hosts and in hosts with specific defects in immune compartments: SCID, RAG2<sup>−/−</sup> Ccyc<sup>−/−</sup>, RAG2<sup>−/−</sup> Ccyc<sup>−/−</sup>, CD4<sup>−/−</sup> CD8<sup>−/−</sup>, CD4<sup>−/−</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> Ccyc<sup>−/−</sup>, CD4<sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>−/−</sup> (Figures 1A and 1B). By using bioluminescence imaging, we could measure the kinetics of tumor regression upon MYC inactivation.

Tumors initially exhibited regression regardless of the host immune status (Figures 1A, 1B, and 1C). However, severely immune compromised hosts (SCID and RAG2<sup>−/−</sup> Ccyc<sup>−/−</sup> mice deficient in the adaptive immune system and NK cells) demonstrated significantly delayed kinetics of tumor regression upon MYC inactivation compared with wild-type (WT) hosts (Figure 1D, SCID versus WT, p < 0.001) and failed to execute complete tumor elimination with up to 1000-fold more minimal residual disease (MRD) after MYC inactivation (Figure 1E, SCID versus WT, p < 0.0001; RAG2<sup>−/−</sup> Ccyc<sup>−/−</sup> versus WT, p = 0.01 at the nadir of luciferase activity upon MYC inactivation).

Similarly, less severely immune compromised hosts also exhibited delayed kinetics (Figures 1B and 1D, RAG2<sup>−/−</sup> versus WT, p = 0.02; CD4<sup>−/−</sup> CD8<sup>−/−</sup> versus WT, p = 0.02) and a significantly increased MRD (Figure 1E, RAG2<sup>−/−</sup> versus WT, p = 0.01; CD4<sup>−/−</sup> CD8<sup>−/−</sup> versus WT, p < 0.01). Hence, an intact immune system is required for rapid and complete tumor regression.

To determine if host immune status influenced the frequency of tumor recurrence, we continued to observe mice for 80 days after MYC inactivation noting that tumors recurred at a statistically significantly higher frequency in SCID, RAG2<sup>−/−</sup> Ccyc<sup>−/−</sup>, RAG2<sup>−/−</sup>, and CD4<sup>−/−</sup> CD8<sup>−/−</sup> hosts (87.5%, 100%, 100%, and 80%, respectively) compared with WT hosts (9%) (immune compromised hosts versus WT, p < 0.0001, also see Figure 1F).

Immune System Is Not Required to Induce Proliferative Arrest or Apoptosis

Previously, we have shown that upon MYC inactivation in a transgenic model of T-ALL, tumor cells undergo proliferative arrest and apoptosis (Felsher and Bishop, 1999). We determined if the mechanism by which immune cells were contributing to the process of tumor regression was through effects on proliferation and apoptosis of tumor cells before and after MYC inactivation (Figures 2A and 2B). After 4 days of MYC inactivation, tumors from wild-type and immunodeficient hosts exhibited an overall loss of pleomorphic characteristics evidenced by a similarly marked reduction in cell size and nuclear to cytoplasmic ratio in both cohorts. Importantly, upon MYC inactivation, we observed marked changes in the total number of cells per field and carefully controlled for these changes in our quantification of TUNEL and Ki67 staining.

To measure apoptosis, TUNEL staining was performed. Apoptosis occurred similarly upon MYC inactivation regardless of host immune status (Figure 2A), suggesting that initial tumor regression occurs similarly regardless of the presence or
absence of an immune system. Quantification of TUNEL staining revealed a 2-fold increase in the extent of apoptosis upon MYC inactivation in tumors from WT hosts (Figure 2B, WT MYC On versus Off, p = 0.05). Moreover, the apoptosis in regressing tumors from WT hosts was not significantly different from that of regressing tumors in either RAG2−/− or CD4−/− hosts (Figure 2B, WT versus RAG2−/−, CD4−/− MYC Off, p = 0.3 and 0.3, respectively). Finally there was a small but statistically insignificant increase in the levels of apoptosis upon MYC inactivation in RAG2−/− or CD4−/− hosts (Figure 2B, RAG2−/−, CD4−/− MYC On versus Off, p = 0.07 and 0.09, respectively). Hence, the absence of the immune system may slightly impede apoptosis of tumor cells upon MYC inactivation.

Next, changes in cellular proliferation upon MYC inactivation were measured by Ki67 staining. MYC inactivation in tumors from WT and immunodeficient hosts resulted in a significant reduction in Ki67 staining (Figures 2A and 2B, WT, RAG2−/−, CD4−/− MYC On versus MYC Off, p < 0.01). Interestingly, in comparison to WT hosts, RAG2−/− but not CD4−/− hosts, underwent a statistically significant further decrease in Ki67 staining upon MYC inactivation (WT versus RAG2−/− or CD4−/− MYC Off, p = 0.02 or p < 0.05, respectively). Thus, the absence of the host immune system either has no effect or modestly enhances the effect of MYC inactivation in inducing proliferative arrest.

**Immune System Is Required to Induce Cellular Senescence and the Shutdown of Angiogenesis**

We have reported that upon MYC inactivation tumor cells undergo cellular senescence (Wu et al., 2007) and the shutdown of angiogenesis (Giuriato et al., 2006). We examined the role of both processes. Tumors from WT hosts expressed a 20-fold increase in senescence-associated acidic β-gal (SA-β-Gal) activity upon MYC inactivation and demonstrated a 26- and 6-fold increase in senescence-associated markers, p16INK4a and p21, respectively, upon MYC inactivation and demonstrated a 26- and 6-fold increase in senescence-associated markers, p16INK4a and p21, respectively, upon MYC inactivation and demonstrated a 26- and 6-fold increase in senescence-associated markers, p16INK4a and p21, respectively, upon MYC inactivation (Figures 3A and 3B). In contrast, MYC inactivation in tumors in RAG2−/− and CD4−/− mice did not result in increased SA-β-Gal or the induction of p16INK4a or p21 (Figures 3A and 3B, WT versus RAG2−/− MYC Off SA-β-Gal, p = 0.01, p16 staining p = 0.002, p21 staining p = 0.01; WT versus CD4−/−, MYC Off SA-β-Gal, p = 0.009, p16 staining, p = 0.0005, p21 staining, p = 0.004).
Thus, in immune deficient mice, MYC inactivation is impeded from inducing cellular senescence in tumor cells. Notably, CD4+ T cells specifically appeared to be required.

We determined if an intact immune system was required for MYC inactivation to induce the shutdown of angiogenesis associated with the secretion of TSP-1, a potent antiangiogenic protein (Giuriato et al., 2006; Kazerounian et al., 2008; Lawler, 2000). Upon MYC inactivation there was a 3.5-fold induction of TSP-1 in tumors from WT hosts but not in RAG2−/− or CD4−/− hosts (Figure 4A, WT versus RAG2−/−, CD4−/− MYC Off, p = 0.001). Furthermore, while tumors in WT mice demonstrated very little change in mean vascular density (MVD) as measured by CD31 staining upon MYC inactivation (Figure 4A, RAG2−/− MYC On versus Off, p < 0.0001; CD4−/− MYC On versus Off, p = 0.07). Thus, the absence of CD4+ T cells impairs the ability of MYC inactivation to induce cellular senescence as well as shutdown angiogenesis.

Finally, TSP-1 expression requires host immune cells and specifically CD4+ T cells. Indeed, we found that TSP-1 protein expression is markedly decreased in spleens of immune compromised versus wild-type hosts (Figure S2A). Further, we show that activated CD4+ T cells express TSP-1 (Figure S2B).

**CD4+ T Cells Home to the Tumor and Are Sufficient to Restore Sustained Tumor Regression**

We examined if CD4+ T cells were homing to the tumor site upon oncogene inactivation. Upon adoptive transfer into RAG2−/− hosts, luciferase+ CD4+ T cells rapidly localized to the tumor...
site upon MYC inactivation as seen by bioluminescence imaging of these tumors before and after MYC inactivation (Figure 5A). Inactivating this oncogene causes CD4+ T cells to localize at the tumor site as early as 4 days after oncogene inactivation, peak at day 12 and persist up to 3 weeks after MYC inactivation. Thus, MYC inactivation is associated with trafficking of CD4+ T cells to sites of tumor involvement. Notably, CD4+ T cell-depleted luciferase+ splenocytes also localized to the site of the tumor upon MYC inactivation, suggesting the recruitment of additional host immune effector populations (Figure S3A).

Next, we evaluated if we could restore the ability of MYC inactivation to induce sustained tumor regression in immune compromised hosts by adoptively transferring specific lymphocyte populations into RAG2−/− mice. By FACS analysis, we confirmed reconstitution of effector cells (Figure S3B). As expected, RAG2−/− mice adoptively transferred with splenic lymphocytes exhibited sustained regression (Figure 6B). RAG2−/− hosts demonstrated a significant amount of MRD after MYC inactivation compared with WT hosts (Figures 5B and 5C, RAG2−/− versus WT, p = 0.007). Reconstitution of immunodeficient hosts with naive CD8+ T cells continued to have a significant burden of MRD (Figure 5C, RAG2−/−CD8+ versus WT, p = 0.03), whereas reconstitution of RAG2−/− hosts with naive CD4+ T cells completely eliminated MRD, similar to WT hosts upon MYC inactivation (Figure 5C, RAG2−/−CD4+ versus WT, p = 0.09). Moreover, RAG2−/− hosts adoptively transferred with CD4+ T cells...
exhibited statistically significant prolonged tumor-free survival compared with RAG2−/− or RAG2−/− hosts reconstituted with CD8+ T cells (Figures 5B and 5D, RAG2−/− versus RAG2−/− CD4+, p = 0.007, RAG2−/−CD4+ versus RAG2−/−CD8+, p = 0.03). Hence, restoration of CD4+ T cells alone was sufficient for the ability of MYC inactivation to eliminate MRD and induce sustained tumor regression.

Host Immune System Is Required to Elicit Changes in Chemokine Expression

We measured relative fold changes in cytokine production in tumors growing in WT or RAG2−/− hosts after MYC inactivation (Figure 6A). MYC inactivation in tumors from WT compared with RAG2−/− hosts revealed an upregulation of antiproliferative and antiangiogenic (“antitumor”) cytokines that suggest potential involvement by other immune effectors. Eotaxin-1 and IL-5 (Figure 6A, WT versus RAG2−/− fold change upon MYC inactivation p = 0.02 and p = 0.003, respectively) are potent Th2 cytokines that have been implicated in the recruitment of an eosinophil-mediated antitumor inflammatory response (Simson et al., 2007). IFN-γ was observed to increase over 4-fold upon MYC inactivation in the WT hosts with virtually no change in the absence of the host immune system (WT versus RAG2−/− fold change upon MYC inactivation p = 0.03) while TNF-α was significantly downregulated in RAG2−/− hosts (RAG2−/− MYC On versus Off, p = 0.02); its upregulation was close to statistical
significance in the WT hosts (WT MYC On versus Off, p = 0.07). Both cytokines have been shown by many to be critical mediators of potent CD4+ antitumor activity (Qin and Blankenstein, 2000; Thomas and Hersey, 1998). Interestingly, MCP-1, a potent chemoattractant of inflammatory tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs), specifically, tumor-promoting M2 macrophages (Allavena et al., 2008; Hu et al., 2009), was significantly downregulated in the tumors from immunodeficient hosts compared with WT (WT versus RAG2−/− fold change upon MYC inactivation p = 0.008).

Also, the downregulation of “protumor” cytokines was measured in tumors from WT and RAG2−/− hosts. Vascular endothe-

Figure 5. CD4+ T Cells Home to the Tumor and Are Sufficient to Induce Sustained Tumor Regression upon MYC Inactivation

(A) Bioluminescence signal of luciferase+CD4+ T cells that home to the tumor microenvironment. RAG2−/− mice were reconstituted with luciferase−CD4+ T cells and unlabeled tumor cell lines were injected s.c. 8 days post reconstitution. MYC was inactivated when tumors grew to a size of 1000 mm3. Data are represented as bioluminescence signal (average radiance) plotted against time after MYC inactivation (n = 3).

(B) Tumor regression and relapse kinetics measured by bioluminescence imaging. RAG2−/− mice were reconstituted with CD4+ (RAG2−/− reconst. CD4+ T cells, n = 5) or CD8+ (RAG2−/− reconst. CD8+ T cells, n = 6) T cells from WT mice. Eight days after reconstitution, luciferase+ tumor cell lines were injected s.c. MYC was inactivated when tumors in all hosts reached a comparable bioluminescence signal. Data are presented as bioluminescence signal (average radiance) plotted against time after MYC inactivation. WT (n = 3) and RAG2−/− (n = 3) mice were used as positive and negative controls.

(C) Quantification of minimum residual disease. Bioluminescence signals of tumors at their maximally regressed state are plotted against genotype. Statistical significance (p value evaluated by unpaired Student’s t test) is shown. *p < 0.01, **p < 0.001, ***p < 0.0001. Error bars are ± SEM.

(D) Kaplan Meier curves of tumor-free survival in the reconstituted RAG2−/−, RAG2−/−, and WT mice. Log-rank test was used compare the survival curves. Data are representative of three experiments. Statistics were performed including all data: n = 14, RAG reconstituted with CD8+ T cells: n = 12. reconst. = reconstituted with.

See also Figure S3.
cytokines (eotaxin, IFN-γ and RANTES) increased, while the protumor cytokine, VEGF decreased in protein expression (Figure S4B). Thus, the host immune status is responsible for the regulation of changes in cytokine expression.

**TSP Expression Is Required for Sustained Tumor Regression upon MYC Inactivation**

Our results suggested to us the possibility that specific cytokines may be critical to the remodeling of the tumor and the tumor microenvironment upon MYC inactivation. We used two approaches to investigate the role of TSP-1. First, we reconstituted RAG2−/− mice with splenocytes from either TSP-1,2+/+ (WT) or TSP-1,2−/− mice. Both TSP-1 and 2 have been implicated in the inhibition of angiogenesis and have similar structural domains (Kazerounian et al., 2008; Lawler, 2000). By FACS analysis, we verified equivalent immune reconstitution (Figure S4A). Indeed, RAG2−/− mice reconstituted with TSP-1,2−/− splenocytes completely failed to protect from sustained tumor regression upon MYC inactivation compared to RAG2−/− mice reconstituted with WT splenocytes (Figure 6B, relapse rate WT versus TSP-1,2−/−, 10% versus 100%, p = 0.02). We conclude that TSP expression in immune effectors is important for sustained tumor regression upon MYC inactivation.

Next, we addressed whether we could bypass the requirement for TSP-1 expression from host immune cells by artificially introducing TSP-1 into tumor cells. We compared tumor recurrence upon MYC inactivation in RAG2−/− hosts of tumors infected with a vector control versus tumors infected with a TSP-1 expression vector. TSP-1 overexpressing tumors exhibited a delay in the kinetics (mean latency 80 versus 102 days) and a decreased frequency of tumor recurrence (40% versus 100%) resulting in a statistically significant survival advantage (Figure 6C, RAG2−/− TSP-1+ versus RAG2−/−, p = 0.02). Thus, TSP-1 overexpression of tumor cells is sufficient to increase the duration and frequency of sustained tumor regression upon MYC inactivation in immune compromised hosts.

**Cyclosporine A Treatment of Primary Tumors Impedes Senescence and Shutdown of Angiogenesis**

To examine if similar results would be observed in primary transgenic tumors, we determined the influence of the pharmacological suppression of the host immune system with cyclosporine A (Shevach, 1985) on the consequences of MYC inactivation.
Cyclosporine A did not have any direct effects on the proliferation of tumor cells in vitro (Figure S5). Cyclosporine A treated primary transgenic mice illustrated a marked inhibition on the ability of MYC inactivation to induce both cellular senescence as measured by staining for SA \( \beta \)-galactosidase (70% versus 1%; \( p < 0.01 \)), p16 (6% versus 2%; \( p < 0.05 \)), and p21 (0.5% versus 0.1%, \( p < 0.01 \)) as well as the suppression of angiogenesis as measured by decrease in staining for CD31 (0.2% versus 0.4%, \( p = 0.05 \)) and the induction of TSP-1 (6% versus 1%, \( p = 0.0006 \)) (Figures 7A and 7B). Thus, cyclosporine A blocked the ability of MYC inactivation to induce senescence and shut down angiogenesis. We observed no effects on apoptosis as measured by TUNEL staining (data not shown). However, cyclosporine A treatment may suppress the ability of MYC inactivation to induce senescence and shut down angiogenesis.

Figure 7. Cyclosporine A Treatment Inhibits Induction of Senescence and Inhibition of Angiogenesis in Tumors from Primary MYC-Induced T-ALL

(A) Micrographs of hematoxylin and eosin, Ki67, SA-\( \beta \)-gal, p16, p21, CD31, and TSP-1 immunostaining (ordered from top to bottom) of tumors derived from untreated and cyclosporine A-treated primary tumor bearing mice (MYC On and 4 day dox-treated MYC Off). Scale bar = 100 \( \mu \)m.

(B) Quantification of immunostaining shown in (A). Ordered from left to right, the graphs represent quantification of Ki67, SA-\( \beta \)-gal, p16, p21, CD31, and TSP-1 expression. Quantification is the average percentage of positively stained regions within the tumors. At least five different fields from two different tumors were analyzed. Statistical significance (\( p \) value evaluated by unpaired Student’s t test) is shown. *\( p < 0.01 \), **\( p < 0.001 \), ***\( p < 0.0001 \). Error bars are ± SEM. See also Figure S5.
to induce proliferative arrest. Interestingly, cyclosporine A seemed to inhibit proliferation during tumor progression when MYC was still activated. This suggests, that when MYC is on, T cells might promote tumor formation indicating the dual nature of the immune response in cancer (de Visser et al., 2006).

**Immune System Is Required for Sustained Regression of BCR-ABL-Induced B-ALL**

To determine if our results would generalize to another model of hematopoietic tumorigenesis, we used a conditional transgenic model of BCR-ABL-induced pro-B cell lymphocytic leukemia (B-ALL) (Huettn et al., 2000). First, we determined if host immune status influenced the ability of BCR-ABL inactivation to induce sustained tumor regression. Similar to MYC inactivation, tumors upon BCR-ABL inactivation underwent sustained tumor regression in wild-type hosts while 100% of the immunodeficient hosts relapsed within 14 days of BCR-ABL inactivation (Figure 8A, WT versus RAG2<sup>-/-</sup>, p = 0.006). Hence, BCR-ABL inactivation also induces sustained tumor regression only in immune intact hosts.

Upon BCR-ABL inactivation, Ki67 expression showed a non-significant decrease in tumors transplanted into wild-type hosts but no change in tumors transplanted into immunodeficient hosts.
hosts. Ki67 expression was higher in tumors transplanted into immunodeficient hosts compared with those transplanted into immune intact hosts (Figure 8B, WT BCR-ABL off versus immunodeficient BCR-ABL off p = 0.03). Cellular senescence increased upon BCR-ABL inactivation in tumors from wild-type hosts versus immunodeficient hosts as measured by increased SA-β-gal staining (4% versus 0.4%, p = 0.05). Finally, there was a 3-fold increase in TSP-1 upon BCR-ABL inactivation in tumors from immunocompetent hosts while TSP-1 expression did not change upon BCR-ABL inactivation in immunodeficient hosts (Figure 8B, TSP-1 panel, WT BCR-ABL on versus BCR-ABL off, p < 0.0001; WT BCR-ABL Off versus immunodeficient BCR-ABL Off, p = 0.0001). We were unable to measure any significant CD31 expression in any of the tumors. Hence, BCR-ABL inactivation also induces sustained tumor regression only in immune intact hosts.

**DISCUSSION**

Oncogene addiction has been presumed to be a cell autonomous process. Here, we have shown that interactions between the tumor microenvironment and the immune system are essential for sustained tumor regression upon oncogene inactivation. In the absence of an intact immune system, we see a 10- to 1000-fold reduction in the rate, extent, and duration of tumor regression upon MYC inactivation. The absence of CD4+ T cells alone was sufficient to markedly impede sustained tumor regression. Thus, oncogene addiction is not necessarily cell autonomous. CD4+ T cells may play a critical role in enabling MYC inactivation to elicit changes in the microenvironment and in cytokine expression that appear to be required for cellular senescence and the shutdown of angiogenesis. TSP-1 must be expressed by immune effectors to cooperate with MYC inactivation to induce tumor regression. Our results generalized to primary tumors from MYC-induced T-ALL bearing hosts that had been treated with the immunosuppressive agent cyclosporine A and a conditional transgenic model of BCR-ABL-induced B-ALL. Oncogene inactivation generally may induce tumor regression through immune cell-dependent mechanisms.

Our observations are consistent with a multitude of reports that document the role of the immune system in neoplasia (de Visser et al., 2005, 2006; Dunn et al., 2002; Soucek et al., 2007). Tumors coevolve in the context of an intact immune system through the process of immune editing, resulting in tumor elimination, dormancy, or evolving to escape the immune system and progress to full malignancy (Dunn et al., 2002; Guerra et al., 2008; Teng et al., 2008). Upon MYC inactivation, a massive recruitment of CD4+ T cells occurs that is associated with marked changes in cytokine production in the tumor microenvironment leading to cellular senescence and the shutdown of angiogenesis. TSP-1 is one of the critical chemokines. Interestingly, immune effector recruitment and associated changes in chemokines occur upon restoration of the tumor suppressor p53 in both liver cancer (Xue et al., 2007) and upon MYC inactivation in lymphoma (Giuriato et al., 2006). Provocatively, CD4+ T cells emerged as the critical host effector population for sustained tumor regression upon MYC inactivation. Notably, hosts deficient in CD4+ T cells exhibited impaired kinetics, degree and durability of tumor regression as well as reduced senescence and suppression of angiogenesis upon MYC inactivation. The reconstitution of CD4+ T cells into RAG2-/- hosts alone was capable of restoring the ability of MYC inactivation to induce sustained tumor regression. The reconstitution of CD4+ T cells into RAG2-/- hosts had more potent effects on tumor regression compared with the depletion of these cells, perhaps reflecting that in hosts that are congenitally defective for a specific immune compartment there may be compensation from other immune effectors (Xing et al., 1998).

CD4+ T cells have been previously implicated in the restraint of tumor growth through regulation of antigen dependent mechanisms involving either macrophages or cytotoxic T cells (Corthay et al., 2005; Dranoff et al., 1993; Qin and Blankenstein, 2000). Intriguingly, host CD4+ T cells sculpted the tumor’s response to MYC inactivation, likely not by their modest influence upon apoptosis or proliferation, but by dramatically inducing cellular senescence and the shutdown of angiogenesis, processes previously suggested by us to be integral to the ability of MYC inactivation to effect sustained tumor regression. Two of the hallmarkst of oncogene addiction, both the induction of cellular senescence and the suppression of angiogenesis, have been linked to the expression of cytokines known to be expressed by CD4+ T cells (Acosta et al., 2008; Beatty and Paterson, 2001; Kuilman et al., 2008; Muller-Hermelink et al., 2008).

Thus, CD4+ T cells are one important component of the mechanism of tumor regression upon oncogene inactivation. Other host immune effectors are likely to contribute, and we recognize that other innate and adaptive immune compartments are also likely to be involved including macrophages, NK cells, mast cells, and B cells. Recent work suggests that mast cells and macrophages both may be critical (Soucek et al., 2007; Xue et al., 2007). Indeed, it is possible that CD4+ T cells are mediating part of the effects we have observed by recruiting these effector populations.

TSP-1 is critical for the mechanism by which host immune effectors mediate tumor regression upon MYC inactivation. TSP-1 is a potent cytokine that has been implicated in the regulation of many cellular processes including the regulation of angiogenesis (Jimenez et al., 2000; Kazerounian et al., 2008; Lawler, 2000; Short et al., 2005; Zaslavsky et al., 2010). Furthermore, TSP-1 also has been suggested to regulate lymphocyte homing and function (Li et al., 2006) and appears to be required for the ability of CD4+ T cells to contribute to sustained regression upon oncogene inactivation.

Additionally, other cytokines including eotaxin-1, IL-5, IFN-γ and TNF-α are possible candidates for mediating the changes in cellular senescence and angiogenesis upon MYC inactivation, consistent with reports that these chemokines may be involved in these processes (Beatty and Paterson, 2001; Beyne-Rauzy et al., 2004). The downregulation of other cytokines such as VEGF, IL-1β, and MCP-1 could also contribute (Kowanetz and Ferrara, 2006; Schors et al., 2006; Su et al., 2009). IFN-γ and TNF-α have been previously implicated in the regulation of cellular quiescence and angiogenesis (Beatty and Paterson, 2001; Beyne-Rauzy et al., 2004; Kuilman et al., 2008; Muller-Hermelink et al., 2008), and eotaxin-1 and IL-5 have demonstrated potent antitumor activity in numerous mouse models of cancer.
processes may contribute to the constraint of minimal residual cellular senescence and shut down angiogenesis. These and appear to be strongly associated with its ability to elicit regression are all dictated by the presence of an immune system. However, the kinetics of tumor cell inactivation with immunotherapy may be a particularly efficacious anticancer therapy.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Transgenic Mice**

The generation and characterization of Tet system transgenic lines for conditional expression of MYC, have been described (Felsher and Bishop, 1999). CD4−/−, CD8−/−, CD4−/−CD8−/−, and RAG2−/− mice were generously provided in the FVB/N background by Lisa Coussens (University of California, San Francisco). TSP-1,2−/− mice were generously provided by Ben Barres (Stanford University). Luciferase+L2G85 mice were generously provided by Robert Negrin (Stanford University). Tet-on-BCR-ABL mice were generously provided by Daniel Tene (Harvard University). Genotyping was performed by PCR on genomic DNA from tails. All animal experiments were approved by Stanford’s Administrative Panel on Laboratory Animal Care (APLAC) and in accordance with institutional and national guidelines.

**Tumor Surveillance and Tumorigenicity Assays**

Mice that were moribund with tumor were either humanely euthanized or treated with doxycycline in their drinking water (100 μg/ml) to follow tumor regression and relapse. Statistical comparison of Kaplan-Meier curves is based on the log-rank test. Further details can be found in Supplementary Experimental Procedures.

**Reconstitution of RAG2−/− mice**

RAG2−/− mice were injected intravenously (i.v.) with either (1) 20 × 10^6 splenocytes from WT or TSP-1,2−/− mice or (2) 2 × 10^6 CD4+ or CD8+ T cells isolated from spleens and lymph nodes of WT mice using magnetically activated cell sorting (MACS). Eight days post reconstitution, mice were bled from the tail vein and CD4+ and CD8+ T cell reconstitution was verified using FACS.

**In Vivo Bioluminescence Imaging**

Mice with tumors were anesthetized with a combination of inhaled isoflurane/oxygen delivered by the Xenogen XGI-8 5-port Gas Anaesthesia System. The intraperitoneal luciferin (150 mg/kg) was injected into the animal’s peritoneal cavity 10 min before imaging. Animals were then placed into a light-tight chamber and imaged with an IVIS-200 cooled CCD camera (Xenogen, Alameda, CA) (Contag et al., 1997). Living Image was used to collect, archive, and analyze photon fluxes and transform them into pseudocolor images by using Living Image software (Xenogen). At least five mice per group were injected with tumors expressing luciferase.

**Luminex Cytokine Assay**

The concentration of 21 cytokines was measured from tumor tissue lysates from WT and RAG2−/− mice at tumor onset and 4 days after MYC inactivation. Concentrations were measured using Luminex xMAP technology. Data were obtained as mean fluorescence intensity based on a standard curve generated for each cytokine. Further details can be found in Supplementary Experimental Procedures.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures and six figures and can be found online at doi:10.1016/j.ccr.2010.10.002.
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